## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/590,020	ROKKJAER, RENNO HJORTH
Examiner	Art Unit
Cheryl Juska	1794

	Cheryl Juska	1794	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 15 January 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of a eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 766.07(if	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period civil under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the se set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office there may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	of the date of appeal. Since a
AMENDMENTS			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t         <ul> <li>They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	E below);	
<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	er form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying ti	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate, t	•	
7. \( \) For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s); a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	planation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>		condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). ( 13. Other:	P10/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Cheryl Juska/		
	Primary Examiner Art Unit 1794		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed limitation that the curable polymer has a thickness less than a thickness of the felt layer raises the issue of new matter. Specifically, while the specification teaches the penetration distance of the polymer coating into the felt layer is less than the thickness of said felt layer, the specification does not necessarily teach the thickness of the coating itself (i.e., total thickness) is less than the thickness of the felt layer.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It is based upon a non-entered amendment. However, while it is noted said amendment would be sufficient to overcome the standing 112, 1st rejection, it raises the issue of a new 112, 1st rejection as described above.